

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/712,580                              | 11/12/2003    | Paul R. Rux          |                     | 8983             |
| 75                                      | 90 03/23/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| Paul R. Rux<br>5125 MOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE |               |                      | BOLLINGER, DAVID H  |                  |
| LAS VEGAS,                              |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                                       |               |                      | 3653                | <u> </u>         |

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/712,580   | RUX, PAUL R.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | David H. Bollinger   | 3653   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u></u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | , ————————————————————————————————————   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · _   | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 4-8,10 and 11 is/are objected to.   | an alaatian waxiinamaant   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin   | er.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | , or the comment copies her recent   | <del></del> -  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12 Nov. 2003.   |  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/712,580 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

1. Claims 4 through 8, 10 and 11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4 through 8, 10 and 11have not been further treated on the merits.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 through 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not understood whether the "opening" recited in line 1 is the same element as the "opening portion" recited in line 3. Further, it is not clearly understood how the "extendable strap and track mechanism" holds the rolls in place since the structural relationship and structure of such mechanism has not been sufficiently defined in the claim. Also, it is unclear how the roll is release as no structure has been clearly defined to release or separate a roll.

In claim 2, the structural relationship of the various recited elements to result in the toilet paper dispensing container has not been clearly established.

Claim 9 is indefinite because it depends from itself, therefore; it is not clearly understood what elements are included in the claim.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/712,580 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 3/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cravatt.

Cravatt teaches toilet paper dispensing container having an opening which allows the release of a toilet roll, the container accommodating a plurality of rolls accessible one at a time via an opening portion (at 28). The container includes an extendable strap and track mechanism (comprising elements 34, 36 and 38) which holds all of the rolls in place above the roll that is to be released (and above the opening which allows the release of a roll). Cravatt also provides a pivoted lid 32 at the upper end of the container to allow filling the container.

- 6. The failure by the examiner to apply prior art to claims 2, 3/2 and 9 should not be construed as an indication of allowable subject matter in view of the above rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph.
- 7. The Information Disclosure Statement filed 12 November 2003 has been partially considered. Examiner has considered the United States patent documents cited in the Information Disclosure Statement. The foreign patent documents cited have not been considered because copies of the cited documents have not been provided.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Bollinger whose telephone number is 571-272-6935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,580 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David H Bollinger
Primary Examiner 3/19/06

Art Unit 3653